ORIGINAL ARTICLE

N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor genetics: The power of paralog homology and protein dynamics in defining dominant genetic variants

Jacob G. Charron^{1,2} | Angel Hernandez³ | Stephanie M. Bilinovich^{1,4} | Daniel L. Vogt^{1,4} | Laura A. Bedinger⁵ | Laurie H. Seaver^{1,5} | | Michael Williams^{1,4} | Seth Devries³ | Daniel B. Campbell^{1,4} | Caleb P. Bupp^{1,5} | | Jeremy W. Prokop^{1,4,6}

¹Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA

²Department of Biology, Calvin University, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA

³Pediatric Neurology, Helen DeVos Children's Hospital, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA

⁴Center for Research in Autism, Intellectual, and other Neurodevelopmental Disabilities, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

⁵Division of Medical Genetics, Helen DeVos Children's Hospital, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA

⁶Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

Correspondence

Jeremy W. Prokop, Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, Michigan State University, 400 Monroe Ave NW, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, USA. Email: jprokop54@gmail.com

Funding information

NIH Office of the Director, Grant/Award Number: K01ES025435

Abstract

Predicting genotype-to-phenotype correlations from genomic variants has been challenging, particularly for genes that have a complex balance of dominant and recessive inheritance for phenotypes. Variants in NMDA receptor components GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B cause a myriad of dominant disease phenotypes, with the most common being epilepsy and autism spectrum disorder. Starting from the analysis of a variant of uncertain significance (VUS, GRIN2A G760S), we realized the need for tools to map dominant variants for the components of the NMDA receptor. Some variants within GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B exert dominant epilepsy and developmental delay, yet other amino acid variants are conserved and predicted to alter protein function but do not have dominant phenotypes. Common variant annotation tools are not powered to determine pathogenic dominant outcomes. To address this gap, we integrated sequence and structural analyses for GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B. Using this approach, we determined that paralog homology mapping and topology can segregate dominant variants, with an elevation of intermolecular contacts between the subunits. Furthermore, demonstrating the general utility of our methodology, we show that 25 VUS within ClinVar also reach a dominant variant annotation, including the GRIN2A G760S variant. Our work suggests paralog homology and protein topology as a powerful strategy within the receptor complex to resolve dominant genetic variants relative to variants that would fit a recessive inheritance, requiring two damaging variants. These strategies should be tested in additional dominant genetic disorders to determine the broader utility.

KEYWORDS dominant negative, NMDA, VUS

1 | INTRODUCTION

The N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors are a class of neuronal ion channels regulated by glutamate (MacDermott et al., 1986). The

main core of the receptor consists of two molecules of the GluN1 subunit (*GRIN1*) and two of the GluN2 subunit (from either *GRIN2A*, *GRIN2B*, *GRIN2C*, or *GRIN2D*). The complex structure has provided remarkable insights into topology (Karakas & Furukawa, 2014), and

evolutionary insights (Ryan et al., 2008) have yielded critical regions. Yet, insights into the role of all amino acids within the complex have lacked the high resolution needed to rapidly segregate functional genetic variants needed for precision medicine.

Individuals with NMDA receptor dysfunction, primarily GRIN1 (RefSeg NM_007327.3, UniProt Q05586), GRIN2A (NM_000833.4, Q12879), and GRIN2B (NM_000834.3, Q13224), can experience epilepsy, learning disorders, brain atrophy, and hyperkinetic movement disorders (Lemke et al., 2016) commonly beginning in infancy (Ohba et al., 2015). Variants of GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B are significantly associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) according to the 2020 SFARI database (Category 1 or 2), with >100 individuals carrying variants within these genes (Abrahams et al., 2013). In some cases, there have been reports that NMDA variants can follow familial inheritance for ASD without signs of epilepsy (Yoo et al., 2012). The phenotypic spectrum of associated NMDA receptor variants is broad, both between the gene on which variants occur and within different regions of each gene (Yuan et al., 2015). The ClinGen tools annotate GRIN1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, and GRIN2D (NM_000836.2, O15399) as autosomal dominant genes, yet there is some evidence of recessive disorders within GRIN1 (Lemke et al., 2016). A survey of reported variants within the clinical ClinVar (Landrum et al., 2016) and the population gnomAD (Karczewski et al., 2020) tools identifies hundreds of variants within the NMDA receptors, yet many of these genetic variants remain classified as variants of uncertain significance (VUS) or are not associated with clinical phenotypes. While assessing the GRIN2A G760S variant, it became clear that tools are needed to separate dominant variants from other variants that are predicted to be functional yet are not associated with dominant conditions. In this work, we have developed a sequence-to-structure data set for NMDA receptor proteins that resolves an amino acid matrix for segregating functional outcomes, which can be used to identify dominant disease associated variants.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | ClinVar variant extraction

Clinical neurogenetic evaluation of a child with epilepsy identified a VUS in GRIN2A, denoted G760S, that required further characterization for potential pathogenicity. This variant is not reported in any common sequencing database (ClinVar, gnomAD, TOPMed, or Geno2MP). Analysis of ClinVar indicates that a large portion of protein-coding clinical variants are classified as VUS (55%), with 24% of variants annotated in the pathogenic to likely pathogenic groups (Figure 1a). These values are similar to the rest of the ClinVar database, which annotates ~45% of all variants to be VUS. As of January 2020, there were 1489 ClinVar deposits for *GRIN1*, *GRIN2A*, *GRIN2B*, *GRIN2C* (NM_000835.4, Q14957), or *GRIN2D* with 611 protein-coding changes (Figure 1b). *GRIN2A* ranks the highest in protein-coding deposits with 291, while *GRIN2B* (223) and *GRIN1* (81) are well reported. *GRIN2C* (7) and *GRIN2D* (9) have few reported proteincoding variants. *GRIN1* has an even annotation of pathogenic relative to VUS (37/34), while *GRIN2A* (53/180) and *GRIN2B* (53/120) are much heavier in VUS annotation, suggesting need for variant ranking and assessment. The majority of annotated ClinVar protein-coding variants are those of epilepsy and seizure disorders with additional variants associated with intellectual disability (Figure 1c) matching expected phenotypes. It should be noted that ClinVar is not a powerful tool for phenotype extractions as many of the records lack included information. In addition, we have extracted terms from Clin-Var as they are listed and would encourage the community to change the annotation of disease for *GRIN2A* away from "mental retardation" and toward the more appropriate developmental delay/intellectual disability terminology. With the large number of variants for the NMDA receptor, we began a systematic assessment of protein amino acids, integrating structural and evolutionary biology, to aid in the

classifications of protein variant interpretations, including the GRIN2A

medical genetics A WILEY

2.2 | Structural amino acid mapping

G760S variant of interest.

Homology modeling was utilized to merge four known Protein Data Bank (PDB) structures (4PE5, 4TLL, 5UOW, 4TLM) (Karakas & Furukawa, 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Lü et al., 2017) into a single complex containing two subunits of GluN1 and GluN2. To simplify our molecular dynamic simulations (MDS) into a single run we made one molecule of GluN2 GRIN2A and one GRIN2B. The complex was then built into a physiological environment containing protonation at pH 7.4, explicit water, a lipid membrane, and NaCl (Figure 2a). A total of 30 ns of MDS were performed on this complex, resolving the average amino acid movement throughout (Figure 2b). The raw simulation data are available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991558. The lower the movement of an amino acid the more stabile the amino acids, while high movement corresponds to dynamic loops and regions (Figure 2b). The proteins all show relatively similar average movement of amino acids with GRIN2B having the highest per amino acid root mean squared fluctuation (RMSF) of 2.73 Å followed by GRIN1 (2.49 Å) and then GRIN2A (2.36 Å). In addition, the trajectory of each amino acid was correlated to all the other amino acids, calculating dynamic cross correlation matrix (DCCM) sites for intraprotein and interprotein dynamics (Figure 2c). All three of the proteins have similar per amino acid correlations with GRIN1 the highest (48.43 amino acids per residue), followed by GRIN2B (44.46) and GRIN2A (38.81) with 99% of amino acids correlating in movement to another amino acid. For protein-protein correlations, a surprisingly elevated GRIN2B intermolecular correlations were observed, averaging 4.66 amino acid correlations per residue (GRIN1 = 1.79, GRIN2A = 1.77) with GRIN2B having 27.28% of amino acids correlating to amino acids within another protein (GRIN1 = 20.83%, GRIN2A = 17.72%). Overall, we suggest a remarkable overlap in molecular movement between the three proteins of the NMDA receptor complex, bringing insights into structure for all of the amino acids of GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B that can be used to screen genomic variants.

558 WILEY medical genetics



FIGURE 1 ClinVar analysis of the NMDA receptor units. (a) Percent of variant groups (VUS-magenta, likely pathogenic-yellow, pathogenic-red) from ClinVar annotations for GRIN1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, and GRIN2D. (b) Number of variants in each gene from ClinVar (gray) broken down into the groups of (a). (c) Top phenotypes observed for variants of the receptor units. NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; VUS, variant of uncertain significance

2.3 | Evolutionary amino acid mapping

To map conservation and functionality we employed a high-density map of conservation across open reading frame sequences for GRIN1 (133 species sequences), GRIN2A (199), GRIN2B (181), GRIN2C (152), and GRIN2D (54) for a total of 719 sequences used. All the raw data for fasta alignments can be found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991537. The evolution of the GluN2 subunits suggests highest divergence of GRIN2B from the three others, with GRIN2D likely arising from a split from GRIN2C (Figure 3a). Using sequences for each of the genes we calculated codon selection and amino acid conservation at each amino acid on a scale of 0-2, based on our previous metrics (Prokop et al., 2017, 2018), where a value of 2 means the amino acid is 100% conserved with >2 SDs of codon selection based on dN-dS statistics. With a map of conservation for each of the genes for every amino acid, we built a 21-codon sliding window of conservation, a sum of 10 amino acids before and after each amino acid added together (Figure 3b). This metric allows us to identify highly conserved linear motifs within each gene, bringing conservation motif scores for each amino acid, and thus variant, analyzed. Finally, we built conservation scores for all NMDA receptor proteins and from GluN2 subunits.

Aligning all 719 sequences we calculated conservation of GRIN1 amino acids relative to all sequences on a scale of 0-2 (Figure 3c). Six total amino acids have a score of 2 (499, 639, 683, 732, 734, 815) that is indicative of 100% conservation and >2 SDs of codon selection. And additional 17 and 109 amino acids have a score of between 1.5 and 1.25 suggestive of 100% conservation and codon selection between 0 and 2 SDs. For the GluN2 subunits, we calculated the same conservation of each gene relative to all 719 sequences while also calculating amino acids conserved in the 586 GluN2 sequences (removing the 133 GRIN1 sequences) and those amino acids only conserved within the individual gene (Figure 3d). Mapping these conserved amino acids onto the structural complex reveals a high level of paralog conservation at the contact sites of the subunits (Figure 3e). For every amino acid, we thus have calculated amino acid movement data from the structural simulation that is combined with conservation of each gene, a 21-codon linear motif score for each gene, conservation relative to all NMDA receptor sequences, a 21-codon linear motif score for all NMDA receptor sequences, and for GluN2 subunits a conservation score for these 586 sequences. All these metrics were compiled into the amino acid matrix that can be used to assess genomic variants (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991582). This supplemental



FIGURE 2 Structure and dynamics of NMDA receptor complex. (a) Model of two units of GRIN1 (gray), one GRIN2A (cyan), and one GRIN2B (red). The model is shown as the side view or the top view with model alone, model embedded into a lipid membrane, and model filled with water. (b) Movement of amino acids in the three proteins throughout 30 ns of molecular dynamics simulations. (c) The number of amino acids that correlate to each amino acid within a protein (GRIN1 = gray, GRIN2A = cyan, GRIN2B = red) and to the other proteins (magenta). NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate

file contains a readme file that describes every tab and details of each column within the document.

2.4 | Variant classifications

In addition to the extraction of ClinVar variants (Figure 1), we also extracted GRIN1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, and GRIN2D proteincoding variants from gnomADv2 (Karczewski et al., 2020), allowing for the addition of population-level allele frequencies. All variants were classified based on ClinVar into benign, conflicting-np (not provided), pathogenic (all pathogenic and likely pathogenic), VUS, and if not found in ClinVar curated as gnomAD. Each variant was assessed using our MDS insights (Figure 2), conservation (Figure 3), and any annotations within the UniProt database. Notably, pathogenic variants are enriched within the extracellular (1.23 times expected), the discontinuously helical (4.56 times expected), and helical (3.86) UniProt annotated topology (Figure 4a), while the cytoplasmic region is highly deficient in pathogenic variants (0.19). Variant analysis using Poly-Phen2, Provean, and SIFT resulted in classification of variants into



FIGURE 3 Evolution of NMDA receptor proteins. (a) Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood for 719 sequences of GRIN1 (red, 133 species), GRIN2B (blue, 181 species), GRIN2A (green, 199 species), GRIN2D (cyan, 54 species), and GRIN2C (magenta, 152 species). (b) Conservation analysis for each of the genes using a 21-codon sliding window of conservation. (c) Conservation of GRIN1 sequence using all 719 sequences aligned with number of amino acids labeled for 1–1.25 (yellow), 1.5 (orange), and 2 (red). (d) Conservation of GRIN2A sequence compared to all 719 sequences. Amino acids with a value of 3 (blue) are conserved in all sequences, those with a value of 2 (light blue) are conserved in all GRIN2 sequences (GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, and GRIN2D), and those with a value of 1 (cyan) are conserved in GRIN2A. (e) Amino acids colored from (a) and (d) onto the protein model from Figure 2. NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate

categories, with all three tools overwhelmingly classifying the known pathogenic effects to be harmful to protein function and the benign variants as being benign (Figure 4b). These tools, however, also rank many of the gnomAD variants not reported in ClinVar as damaging to protein function, suggesting that the tools do not have the power to segregate the nondominant variants that would be within the gnomAD population variants. It is likely that this level of functional annotation is the difference between dominant linked genetics and other forms of inheritance or more subtle phenotypes. Our calculated conservation scores (Figure 3) with values ≥1 are highly predictive of pathogenic variants as well.

The most powerful prediction of pathogenic variants was the use of the conservation within all NMDA receptor genes or within the GluN2 subunits (green box and star, Figure 4b). Particularly important to note is the lack of gnomAD annotated variants conserved in all NMDA receptors, a key separator of these variants from functional annotation and conservation tools. This is indicative of genetics that likely separate dominant functional outcomes from that of recessive or subtle nonepilepsy associated variant impacts. A combined score from Figure 4b was generated, with a scale of 0 (no predicted impact) to 7 (conserved in all sequences with high selection and all functional tools predicted damage). A value ≥3.5 was highly indicative of pathogenic variant scores (*p*-value 2e-72 relative to all other variants), with no benign ClinVar annotations and very few gnomAD, conflicting-np, and VUS annotations (Figure 4c).

Next, we assessed our MDS data for each amino acid (Figure 4d). Benign annotated variants had the highest RMSF of all variant groups, with pathogenic variants slightly lower than the rest (*p* value 1e-4). The intramolecular contacts were lowest in the pathogenic group (*p* value 6e-8) while the intermolecular contacts are the highest in the pathogenic group but not significant (*p* value 0.06). This further defends our conservation data that pathogenic variant annotations are likely conserved sites involved in the intermolecular contacts that would be dominant negative variants, that is, heterozygous variants that result in complex inactivation due to impairment of multimerization.

UniProt annotations that overlap the variants have details defined in the UniProt Functional Variants tab of the supplemental file (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991582). A total of nine variants in our database occur at UniProt annotated sites (Functional

561



FIGURE 4 Human genetic variants from NMDA receptor proteins. (a) Following extraction of variants from ClinVar and gnomAD for GRIN1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, and GRIN2D, the UniProt topology was annotated for various groups of variants. Throughout all panels of Figure 4, colors are based on sequences for all pooled (gray), gnomAD (yellow), benign (light gray), conflicting interpretation (cyan), pathogenic (red), or VUS (magenta). The black bar represents the percent of amino acids in the proteins with each annotation. In red text is the enrichment of pathogenic variants relative to the expected frequency. (b) All variants were assessed using PolyPhen2, Provean, SIFT, Conservation, conservation in all species, conservation in GRIN2 sequences. (c) Scores from (a) were integrated for each of the groups of variants as shown in a box and whisker plot. (d) Molecular dynamics data for each of the groups shown for RMSF, DCCM Intramolecular contacts, or DCCM intermolecular contacts. (e) Functional annotation of variants from UniProt for active sites of proteins (sites, with nine annotations), sites with posttranslational modifications (Mods, 34 annotations), or functional variants with laboratory insights for altered cellular biology (Fun Variant, 31 annotations). (f) Variants within GRIN1, GRIN2A, or GRIN2B. The green box identifies regions with low gnomAD variants and high pathogenic variants. (g) gnomAD allele count (*x*-axis) relative to combined scores for the five proteins. (h) gnomAD allele count (*x*-axis) for variants reaching 3.5 combined impact and found conserved in either all sequences or GRIN2 sequences. Green box identifies the clustering of pathogenic (red) and VUS (magenta) at 0 counts in gnomAD. DCCM, dynamic cross correlation matrix; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; VUS, variant of uncertain significance

determinant, Glycine Binding, or Glutamate Binding) with one in GRIN1 (S688P), four in GRIN2A (R518H, R518C, R518L, N614S), and one in GRIN2B (N615I) identified as ClinVar pathogenic and three

within the gnomAD database for GRIN2C (R516C, R516H, D759Y), all with combined impact score of function (Figure 4e). A total of 34 variants occur at amino acids with known posttranslational

562 WILEY medical genetics

modification sites (phospho S/T, phospho Y, glycosylation, disulfide bond, omega-N-methylarginine) with three having pathogenic annotations and high functionality (GRIN1 C744Y, GRIN2A C436R, GRIN2B C456Y), 6 as VUS with highly variable scores, and 25 from gnomAD (Figure 4e). A total of 31 amino acid variants within our analysis have been assessed in a lab through mutagenesis and shown to have functional changes to GRIN1 (Lemke et al., 2016; Ogden et al., 2017; Ohba et al., 2015; Zehavi et al., 2017), GRIN2A (Gao et al., 2017; Lemke et al., 2013; Lesca et al., 2013; Swanger et al., 2016), and GRIN2B (Adams et al., 2014; de Ligt et al., 2012; Ogden et al., 2017; Swanger et al., 2016). A total of 25 of the pathogenic variants with confirmed wetlab validation of protein function have an average combined score of 4.8 ± 1.4, while 5 VUS have altered protein function with an averaged combined impact of 3.7 ± 2.1 . It should be noted that a variant can be shown to impact protein function and not result in dominant inheritance, such as the VUS GRIN2A V506A, which is the only VUS with a combined score below 3.

Mapping the combined scores for all the variants within GRIN1. GRIN2A, or GRIN2B relative to the amino acid position revealed a clustering of pathogenic variants with depletion of high scoring gnomAD variants (green box, Figure 4f). These sites correspond to extracellular and helical regions. For GRIN1, positions 550-850 showed an increased number of variants with higher pathogenicity scores and increased localization of pathogenic variants. For GRIN2A/ B. positions 500–900 showed an increased number of variants with higher pathogenicity scores and increased localization of pathogenic variants. This region is the same mapped for conservation across all 719 sequences (Figure 3c,d).

Integrating gnomAD population allele count annotations with variant combined scores for each of the genes shows only GRIN2C to have variants with allele counts >100 and combined scores >2 (Figure 4g). A total of 143 variants had a combined score >3.5 and found conserved throughout all 719 sequences. All the 62 pathogenic variants in this list have no observed gnomAD allele counts, while 6/8 of the conflicting-np had 0 gnomAD occurrences and 2 of the variants have 1 count, and 28 VUS had 0 gnomAD variants with 1 having 1 and 2 having 2 gnomAD counts (Figure 4h). A total of 43 variants found in gnomAD but not within ClinVar fall into this category, with the highest allele number of 4 in one gnomAD observation suggesting even these variants to be very rare (Figure 4h). This suggests that the filtering of variants using combined impact score, paralog conservation, topology, and gnomAD allele frequency are of high utility when confidently mapping pathogenic impact of NMDA receptor proteins.

2.5 Geno2MP variants for dominant inheritance

To test this model of dominant genetics to phenotype insights, we surveyed the Geno2MP database (Geno2MP) for GRIN1, GRIN2A, and GRIN2B variants. A total of 278 variants were observed with 29 in GRIN1, 157 in GRIN2A, and 92 in GRIN2B. From these, 41 have a combined score >3, 10 have the predicted dominant annotation

observed (topology, paralog conservation, conserved >3.5, heterozygous in Geno2MP, Table 1), and 2 have a potential recessive inheritance structure (conserved >3, not conserved in paralog, and homozygous in Geno2MP, Table 1). Both individuals with predicted recessive homozygous variants (GRIN2A D166E, GRIN1 G318R) had abnormality of the nervous system. Of the 10 individuals with dominant variants, 7 had abnormality of the nervous system including agenesis of corpus callosum, seizures, intellectual disability, microcephaly, abnormality of movement, and epileptic encephalopathy. There were two individuals with dominant variants with abnormality of the musculature (myopathy, distal arthrogryposis) and one with abnormality of the skeletal system (skeletal dysplasia), where the musculature and skeletal phenotypes were previously associated with epileptic phenotypes. Thus, using the dominant variant mechanisms of topology and paralog conservation has strong accuracy in identifying phenotypes previously connected to the NMDA receptor proteins.

2.6 VUS of high impact

The 28 ClinVar annotated VUS of the NMDA receptor proteins not present within gnomAD and having >3.5 combined impact and conserved in multiple NMDA receptor proteins potentially fit a dominant mechanism. Three of these variants fall within the cytoplasmic topology of the protein and therefore do not fit our dominant mechanism. Of the 25 VUS identified to cluster similar to pathogenic variants, 11 were GRIN2A, and 14 were GRIN2B (Table 2). A total of 16/25 of the VUS were conserved in all 719 sequences analyzed while 9/25 of the sites are conserved within the GluN2 586 sequences. Of these variants 11 have no clinical description and 10 are annotated with the term epilepsy somewhere in the description. It should be noted that upon further analysis of ClinVar, several of these VUS have been additionally noted in other individuals and annotated as pathogenic or likely pathogenic (Yang et al., 2014). Helen DeVos Children's Hospital Pediatric Neurology identified two missense VUS in NMDA receptors (GRIN2B A1315V, GRIN2A G760S) in two separate individuals. GRIN2B A1315V has since initial report been reclassified as likely pathogenic by the clinical sequencing company. However, that variant does not reach our pathogenic classification by our filtering tools. While A1315V has not been observed within gnomAD, it is predicted to have minimal changes to the protein function based on conservation, PolyPhen2, Provean, and SIFT with a combined impact score of 0.25. Contrary, the GRIN2A G760S VUS is within our top 25 identified (Table 2) with no gnomAD occurrence, damaging calls from Poly-Phen2, Provean, and SIFT, conserved as a G in all 719 sequences of NMDA receptor proteins, has a low molecular movement, and a sum score of 6.25. The G760S variant was inherited from the father who has pervasive developmental disorder and a noted history of seizures in childhood, and the variant has been reported in a separate study as de novo and associated with epilepsy (Yang et al., 2018). Thus, our combined score has the resolution to distinguish potentially proteindamaging versus pathogenic NMDA receptor variants such as GRIN2A G760S.

TABLE 1 Phenotypes of the Geno2MP variants fitting models

Protein	Coded	% 719 sequence conservation	Sum	UniProt topology	Predicted model	HPO profiles	Homozygous profiles	HPO term: Broad	HPO term: Narrow/ medium
GRIN1	E522D	100.00	5.25	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Agenesis of corpus callosum
GRIN2A	G510C	81.36	5.25	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Seizures
GRIN2B	D661A	81.48	5	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Intellectual disability
GRIN2B	N616S	81.48	5	Discontinuously helical	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the musculature	myopathy
GRIN2A	I654T	81.36	5	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the musculature	Distal arthrogryposis
GRIN1	D461G	100.00	4.75	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the skeletal system	Skeletal dysplasia
GRIN1	S688Y	100.00	4.5	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Microcephaly
GRIN2A	H702Y	81.22	4.25	Extracellular	Dominant	2	0	Abnormality of nervous system	Abnormality of movement
GRIN2A	V417F	99.72	4	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Speech apraxia
GRIN2A	G250R	99.44	3.5	Extracellular	Dominant	1	0	Abnormality of the nervous system	Epileptic
GRIN2A	D166E	77.61	4	Extracellular	Recessive	1	1	Abnormality of the nervous system	encephalopathy Abnormality of the cerebellum
GRIN1	G318R	17.79	3.25	Extracellular	Recessive	1	2	Abnormality of the nervous system	Intellectual disability

Note: All variants are predicted to be damaging in PolyPhen2, Provean, and SIFT.

3 | DISCUSSION

Accurate variant classification related to pathogenicity is critically important for clinical care. An analysis of ClinVar variants showed that over 55% of all variants for the NMDA receptor proteins are VUS. This fact indicates there is much work to be done in categorizing VUS in these proteins. From the ACMG standards, VUS cannot be clinically actionable, requiring further assessments of variants before a genetic diagnosis can be made. While computational tools used for variant interpretation have been hampered by circularity (Grimm et al., 2015), the role of training variants on other known variants rather than on mechanistic studies, they often annotate variants seen in common populations as damaging without the ability to segregate disorders. Not all functional variants are the same within a protein. For example, some amino acid variants exert dominant negative changes where the variant protein copy also modifies the wild-type copy (Herskowitz, 1987), often where one variant results in the loss of multimer contacts in dimers such that ~75% of the complex is altered. These forms of dominant negative variants are the primary interest in mapping dominance for this paper. Other variants in proteins can exert different protein functional outcomes, with the most well-studied example in the CFTR protein for cystic fibrosis, where variants can change protein abundance, localization, receptor activation, or ion transport based on the amino acid altered (Sanders et al., 2021). Gain-of-function changes further complicate interpretation because they can either alter functional motifs that control protein abundance or

TABLE 2	Top VUS	s that fit	the domi	inant variant predic	ctions based on patho	genic variant analysis							
Protein	#	AA	Var	Conservation	Conserved in all	GRIN2 conserved	RMSF	Intra contacts	Inter contacts	PolyPhen2	Provean	SIFT	Sum
GRIN2A	498	U	۵	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.676	40	0	1	1	1	9
GRIN2A	531	⊢	Σ	1.5		Yes	1.474	40	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2A	638	A	>	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.944	7	0	1	1	1	9
GRIN2A	648	z	S	1.5	Yes	Yes	1.369	19	5	1	1	1	7
GRIN2A	701	Σ	_	1	Yes	Yes	1.657	20	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2A	716	A	۵	1.25		Yes	1.977	36	0	1	1	1	5
GRIN2A	728	ш	_	1	Yes	Yes	1.738	42	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2A	731	Ω	т	1.5	Yes	Yes	1.527	54	0	1	1	1	7
GRIN2A ^a	760	ט	S	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.227	20	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2A	763	_	⊢	1.5	Yes	Yes	1.436	41	0		1	1	6
GRIN2A	815	۵	ш	1		Yes	2.961	29	5	1	1		4
GRIN2B	436	υ	Ъ	1.25		Yes	1.9	57	0	1	1	1	5
GRIN2B	550	ш	S	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.915	2	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2B	558	>	_	1.25		Yes	2.667	16	28	0.5		1	4
GRIN2B	646	≻	U	1	Yes	Yes	1.562	17	3	1	1	1	6
GRIN2B	649	z	S	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.459	11	3	1	1	1	6
GRIN2B	649	z	⊢	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.459	11	3	1	1	1	9
GRIN2B	688	z	¥	1.5		Yes	2.027	13	0	1	1	1	6
GRIN2B	691	⊢	۷	1.25		Yes	1.57	25	0	1	1	1	5
GRIN2B	726	_	Ъ	1		Yes	1.993	22	0	1	1	1	5
GRIN2B	734	A	⊢	1.25	Yes	Yes	1.431	6	0	1	1		5
GRIN2B	754	U	Ъ	1		Yes	2.695	Ч	0		1	1	4
GRIN2B	818	Σ	ъ	1	Yes	Yes	2.501	13	18	1	1	1	6
GRIN2B	818	Σ	⊢	1	Yes	Yes	2.501	13	18	1	1	1	9
GRIN2B	820	ט	ш	2	Yes	Yes	2.016	12	18	1	1	4	7
Note: For eac all sequence a contacts base	h variant i: lignments d on DCC	s annotat or in the M, the pr	ed the pr GRIN2 se edictions	otein it is found in, t equences (GRIN2A, scores for damaging	he # of the amino acid, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, GRI g variants based on Pol	the wild-type amino aci N2D), the root mean squ yPhen2/Provean/SIFT (s	d (AA), the v Jared fluctua score of 1 me	ariant (Var) amino a ation (RMSF) of the eans its bad), and th	cid, the conservatio molecular dynamics e summed variant s	on score (0–2), wh s for the amino ac score (0–7, with 7	nether the vari cid, the intra al ⁷ high function	ant is con: nd inter m al outcom	served in olecular e

prediction). ^aGRIN2A G760S is the individual from the Helen DeVos Children's hospital.

Here, we utilized pathogenic variants in combination with a sequence-to-structure data analysis to build a functional matrix of the amino acids involved in forming the NMDA receptor complex. This elucidated that amino acids conserved throughout the entire protein family (paralogs) are often conserved for packing of the receptors through extracellular and helical contacts. With two units of each protein required for forming a functional receptor, a dominant negative variant yields only ~25% of functional complexes to form. Other variants within the proteins that still allow for the complex to form, but decreases the glutamate/glycine binding, ion transport, intracellular activation, or protein interactions not within the core of the complex can result in more subtle changes to the receptor activity, such that 50% or more of the complexes can still function at a critical level. These more subtle changes can be less likely to result in more severe phenotypes or can require both copies of the alleles (recessive) to have functional changes to drive disease. The gnomAD data suggest that many variants within the NMDA receptor have predicted impact but are not currently associated with disease state, likely because these are not dominant negative changes and subtlety impact the receptor complex.

A summary of variant classes for the NMDA receptor can be found in Figure 5. Amino acids conserved throughout the GluN1 and GluN2 subunits are those amino acids critical to the proper packing of the macromolecular complex. Heterozygous variants at these sites result in a dominant negative impact, such that amino acid changes inhibit the binding of proteins to each other. With two of each subunit, a heterozygous variant results in removal of ~75% of the functional complexes, 25% that would have both proteins with the variants, and 50% that would have a single copy with the variants. Thus, only the remaining 25% of the protein complex would have two functional copies of the protein. These variants that alter amino acid contacts at fully conserved amino acids result in dominant negative outcomes and are not present in the gnomAD database. Contrary, we identify multiple gnomAD variants with computational prediction from tools like PolyPhen2, Provean, and SIFT for damaging outcomes, but these amino acids are unique to each protein of the NMDA receptor complex. This suggests a potential recessive role of genetic variants, where they can occur within gnomAD and would likely result in decreased receptor function (\sim 25%–50%). These variants would likely only give rise to disease if an individual carried two damaging copies, that is, recessive genetics. This observation is supported by evidence of recessive disorders within the genes based on sequencing (Lemke et al., 2016).

These strategies should be tested in additional dominant genetic disorders to determine the applicability to larger genomic data sets. For all proteins with available structures, we need cohesive efforts in converting the structure files that are qualitative into quantitative amino acid insights using tools such as MDS. With the advancements of cryo-EM determining larger and more complex multiunit structures that are commonly associated with dominant negative outcomes, the quantitative approach we take here could have many future applications. The tools of NCBI for ortholog sequence extractions means that paralog mapping and sequence alignments could be readily applied to any gene family. Therefore, these tools could be broadly applied in the future for dominant negative genetic mechanisms.

4 METHODS

Genomic variants from ClinVar (Landrum et al., 2016) and gnomAD (v2.1.1_non-TOPMed) (Karczewski et al., 2020) were extracted on March 1, 2020. The Geno2MP (Geno2MP, 2021) variants and all

FIGURE 5 NMDA receptor model of dominant negative versus recessive variants. On the top of the figure is the chromosome model with wild-type allele in green and mutant allele in red. The wild-type protein complex is shown below with two units of GluN1 (gray) and two units of GluN2 (cyan) in a membrane (magenta). The complex is shown as both the side view and the top view. To the left is the dominant variant model (red proteins) and to the left is the recessive model as either heterozygous or compound heterozygous/homozygous. The figure was generated using Biorender software in combination with YASARA generated images. NMDA, N-methyl-Daspartate



566 WILEY medical genetics

UniProt annotations for each protein were extracted on October 7, 2021. All analysis of genomic variants can be found at https:// doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991582. Homology modeling of the NMDA receptor proteins were done first by modeling GRIN2A (Q12879) using YASARA modeling (Krieger et al., 2009), where four known PDB structures (4PE5, 4TLL, 5UOW, 4TLM) were merged into a single structure of four units. From this base structure homology modeling for GRIN1 (Q05586) and GRIN2B (Q13224) sequences was performed using YASARA (version 17). Structural alignment of two GRIN1 and one GRIN2B molecules was performed onto the GRIN2A model followed by energy minimization using the YASARA2 force field. The complex was modeled into a lipid membrane using the YASARA md_runmembrane macro using the AMBER14 force field (Duan et al., 2003) using a phosphatidylethanolamine membrane with 0.997 g/ml explicit water, 0.9% NaCl, and protein protonation based on pH 7.4. Following energy minimization with water and the membrane the complex was run for 30 ns of simulation captured in 300 atomic snapshots, one every 100 ps. The simulation consisted of 52,451 protein atoms, 423 Cl, 433 Na, 149,057 water molecules for a total of 593,366 atoms. Analysis of the trajectory was performed using the md_analyze and md_analyzeres macros. Correlations for DCCM were determined for values >0.8. All structure and dynamics data can be found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991558.

Sequences for the open reading frame of each gene were extracted from NCBI paralogs for vertebrate species. Open reading frames were extracted using TransDecoder (Haas et al., 2013). Sequences were aligned using ClustalW codon (Larkin et al., 2007), removing any sequences with ambiguity or missing exons found in >90% of the other sequences. Following alignment codons were assessed for selection using dN-dS with a maximum likelihood Muse-Gaut model (Muse & Gaut, 1994) for Tamura-Nei nucleotide substitutions (Tamura & Nei, 1993) using HyPhy (Pond et al., 2005) and MEGA (version 6) (Tamura et al., 2011). A 21-codon sliding window for conservation was used (Prokop et al., 2017). Genomic sequences were aligned using all 719 extracted, placing each human protein in the first slot followed by removal of all gaps within that protein, followed by calculation of conservation as done above. An additional alignment for GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, and GRIN2D was done, placing each human protein sequence at the reference statistical analysis. Sequence alignments and the top tree are available at https:// doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14991537.

All extracted variants were assessed with PolyPhen2 (Adzhubei et al., 2010), Provean (Choi & Chan, 2015), and SIFT (Ng & Henikoff, 2003). These predictions were converted to binary such that 0 was not bad prediction and 1 bad prediction. These scores were added to the conservation score generated above (0-2 scale), and a binary calculation (0 or 1 with 1 being conserved) of conservation in all sequences or GRIN2 sequences, yielding a maximum score of 7. Any category where statistics were calculated they were based on a t test of the pathogenic annotation relative to all others. Allele counts were extracted from the gnomAD data. Top variants were identified using the cutoff of combined score of >3.5, extracellular/

helical topology, and conservation selection ≥1 in all paralog sequences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ryan M. Bebej (Calvin University) for the assistance he provided in critiquing this manuscript. Funding for this study were from NIH Office of the Director K01ES025435 (to JWP) and Michigan State University.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jacob G. Charron, Stephanie M. Bilinovich, Daniel L. Vogt, Michael Williams, Daniel B. Campbell, and Jeremy W. Prokop processed and analyzed data. Angel Hernandez, Laura A. Bedinger, Laurie H. Seaver, Seth Devries, and Caleb P. Bupp assessed patient records and clinical case review. Angel Hernandez, Caleb P. Bupp, and Jeremy W. Prokop oversaw project completion. Jacob G. Charron and Jeremy W. Prokop wrote the manuscript and compiled figures. All authors approved of the final manuscript version.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All protein structure files (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. 14991558), evolutionary alignments (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.14991537), and variant data (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.14991582) are available at their respective FigShare deposits.

ORCID

Laurie H. Seaver b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9136-101X Caleb P. Bupp () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4255-0396 Jeremy W. Prokop D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1818-9695

REFERENCES

- Abrahams, B. S., Arking, D. E., Campbell, D. B., Mefford, H. C., Morrow, E. M., Weiss, L. A., Menashe, I., Wadkins, T., Banerjee-Basu, S., & Packer, A. (2013). SFARI Gene 2.0: A community-driven knowledgebase for the autism spectrum disorders (ASDs). Molecular Autism, 4, 36.
- Adams, D. R., Yuan, H., Holyoak, T., Arajs, K. H., Hakimi, P., Markello, T. C., Wolfe, L. A., Vilboux, T., Burton, B. K., Fajardo, K. F., Grahame, G., Holloman, C., Sincan, M., Smith, A. C. M., Wells, G. A., Huang, Y., Vega, H., Snyder, J. P., Golas, G. A., ... Gahl, W. A. (2014). Three rare diseases in one Sib pair: RAI1, PCK1, GRIN2B mutations associated with Smith-Magenis syndrome, cytosolic PEPCK deficiency and NMDA receptor glutamate insensitivity. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism, 113, 161-170.
- Adzhubei, I. A., Schmidt, S., Peshkin, L., Ramensky, V. E., Gerasimova, A., Bork, P., Kondrashov, A. S., & Sunyaev, S. R. (2010). A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nature Methods, 7, 248-249
- Choi, Y., & Chan, A. P. (2015). PROVEAN web server: A tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. Bioinformatics, 31.2745-2747.
- de Ligt, J., Willemsen, M. H., van Bon, B. W. M., Kleefstra, T., Yntema, H. G., Kroes, T., Vulto-van Silfhout, A. T., Koolen, D. A., de Vries, P., Gilissen, C., del Rosario, M., Hoischen, A., Scheffer, H., de

Vries, B. B. A., Brunner, H. G., Veltman, J. A., & Vissers, L. E. L. M. (2012). Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *367*, 1921–1929.

- Duan, Y., Wu, C., Chowdhury, S., Lee, M. C., Xiong, G., Zhang, W., Yang, R., Cieplak, P., Luo, R., Lee, T., Caldwell, J., Wang, J., & Kollman, P. (2003).
 A point-charge force field for molecular mechanics simulations of proteins based on condensed-phase quantum mechanical calculations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 24, 1999–2012.
- Gao, K., Tankovic, A., Zhang, Y., Kusumoto, H., Zhang, J., Chen, W., XiangWei, W., Shaulsky, G. H., Hu, C., Traynelis, S. F., Yuan, H., & Jiang, Y. (2017). A de novo loss-of-function GRIN2A mutation associated with childhood focal epilepsy and acquired epileptic aphasia. *PLoS One*, 12, e0170818.
- Geno2MP (2021). NHGRI/NHLBI University of Washington-Center for Mendelian Genomics (UW-CMG), Seattle, WA. http://geno2mp.gs. washington.edu.
- Grimm, D. G., Azencott, C.-A., Aicheler, F., Gieraths, U., MacArthur, D. G., Samocha, K. E., Cooper, D. N., Stenson, P. D., Daly, M. J., Smoller, J. W., Duncan, L. E., & Borgwardt, K. M. (2015). The evaluation of tools used to predict the impact of missense variants is hindered by two types of circularity. *Human Mutation*, *36*, 513–523.
- Haas, B. J., Papanicolaou, A., Yassour, M., Grabherr, M., Blood, P. D., Bowden, J., Couger, M. B., Eccles, D., Li, B., Lieber, M., MacManes, M. D., Ott, M., Orvis, J., Pochet, N., Strozzi, F., Weeks, N., Westerman, R., William, T., Dewey, C. N., ... Regev, A. (2013). De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, *8*, 1494–1512.
- Herskowitz, I. (1987). Functional inactivation of genes by dominant negative mutations. *Nature*, 329, 219–222.
- Karakas, E., & Furukawa, H. (2014). Crystal structure of a heterotetrameric NMDA receptor ion channel. *Science*, 344, 992–997.
- Karczewski, K. J., Francioli, L. C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B. B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R. L., Laricchia, K. M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D. P., Gauthier, L. D., Brand, H., Solomonson, M., Watts, N. A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., England, E. M., Seaby, E. G., Kosmicki, J. A., ... MacArthur, D. G. (2020). The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*, 581, 434–443.
- Krieger, E., Joo, K., Lee, J., Lee, J., Raman, S., Thompson, J., Tyka, M., Baker, D., & Karplus, K. (2009). Improving physical realism, stereochemistry, and side-chain accuracy in homology modeling: Four approaches that performed well in CASP8. *Proteins*, 77(Suppl 9), 114–122.
- Landrum, M. J., Lee, J. M., Benson, M., Brown, G., Chao, C., Chitipiralla, S., Gu, B., Hart, J., Hoffman, D., Hoover, J., Jang, W., Katz, K., Ovetsky, M., Riley, G., Sethi, A., Tully, R., Villamarin-Salomon, R., Rubinstein, W., & Maglott, D. R. (2016). ClinVar: Public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44, D862–D868.
- Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I. M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., & Higgins, D. G. (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 2947–2948.
- Lee, C.-H., Lü, W., Michel, J. C., Goehring, A., Du, J., Song, X., & Gouaux, E. (2014). NMDA receptor structures reveal subunit arrangement and pore architecture. *Nature*, 511, 191–197.
- Lemke, J. R., Geider, K., Helbig, K. L., Heyne, H. O., Schütz, H., Hentschel, J., Courage, C., Depienne, C., Nava, C., Heron, D., Møller, R. S., Hjalgrim, H., Lal, D., Neubauer, B. A., Nürnberg, P., Thiele, H., Kurlemann, G., Arnold, G. L., Bhambhani, V., ... Syrbe, S. (2016). Delineating the GRIN1 phenotypic spectrum: A distinct genetic NMDA receptor encephalopathy. *Neurology*, *86*, 2171–2178.
- Lemke, J. R., Lal, D., Reinthaler, E. M., Steiner, I., Nothnagel, M., Alber, M., Geider, K., Laube, B., Schwake, M., Finsterwalder, K., Franke, A., Schilhabel, M., Jähn, J. A., Muhle, H., Boor, R., Van Paesschen, W.,

Caraballo, R., Fejerman, N., Weckhuysen, S., ... von Spiczak, S. (2013). Mutations in GRIN2A cause idiopathic focal epilepsy with rolandic spikes. *Nature Genetics*, *45*, 1067–1072.

- Lesca, G., Rudolf, G., Bruneau, N., Lozovaya, N., Labalme, A., Boutry-Kryza, N., Salmi, M., Tsintsadze, T., Addis, L., Motte, J., Wright, S., Tsintsadze, V., Michel, A., Doummar, D., Lascelles, K., Strug, L., Waters, P., de Bellescize, J., Vrielynck, P., ... Szepetowski, P. (2013). GRIN2A mutations in acquired epileptic aphasia and related childhood focal epilepsies and encephalopathies with speech and language dysfunction. *Nature Genetics*, 45, 1061–1066.
- Lü, W., Du, J., Goehring, A., & Gouaux, E. (2017). Cryo-EM structures of the triheteromeric NMDA receptor and its allosteric modulation. *Sci*ence, 355, 355.
- MacDermott, A. B., Mayer, M. L., Westbrook, G. L., Smith, S. J., & Barker, J. L. (1986). NMDA-receptor activation increases cytoplasmic calcium concentration in cultured spinal cord neurones. *Nature*, 321, 519–522.
- Muse, S. V., & Gaut, B. S. (1994). A likelihood approach for comparing synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates, with application to the chloroplast genome. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 11, 715–724.
- Ng, P. C., & Henikoff, S. (2003). SIFT: Predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *31*, 3812–3814.
- Ogden, K. K., Chen, W., Swanger, S. A., McDaniel, M. J., Fan, L. Z., Hu, C., Tankovic, A., Kusumoto, H., Kosobucki, G. J., Schulien, A. J., Su, Z., Pecha, J., Bhattacharya, S., Petrovski, S., Cohen, A. E., Aizenman, E., Traynelis, S. F., & Yuan, H. (2017). Molecular mechanism of diseaseassociated mutations in the pre-M1 helix of NMDA receptors and potential rescue pharmacology. *PLoS Genetics*, 13, e1006536.
- Ohba, C., Shiina, M., Tohyama, J., Haginoya, K., Lerman-Sagie, T., Okamoto, N., Blumkin, L., Lev, D., Mukaida, S., Nozaki, F., Uematsu, M., Onuma, A., Kodera, H., Nakashima, M., Tsurusaki, Y., Miyake, N., Tanaka, F., Kato, M., Ogata, K., ... Matsumoto, N. (2015). GRIN1 mutations cause encephalopathy with infantile-onset epilepsy, and hyperkinetic and stereotyped movement disorders. *Epilepsia*, *56*, 841–848.
- Pond, S. L. K., Frost, S. D. W., & Muse, S. V. (2005). HyPhy: Hypothesis testing using phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, 21, 676–679.
- Prokop, J. W., Lazar, J., Crapitto, G., Smith, D. C., Worthey, E. A., & Jacob, H. J. (2017). Molecular modeling in the age of clinical genomics, the enterprise of the next generation. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, 23, 75.
- Prokop, J. W., Yeo, N. C., Ottmann, C., Chhetri, S. B., Florus, K. L., Ross, E. J., Sosonkina, N., Link, B. A., Freedman, B. I., Coppola, C. J., McDermott-Roe, C., Leysen, S., Milroy, L.-G., Meijer, F. A., Geurts, A. M., Rauscher, F. J., Ramaker, R., Flister, M. J., Jacob, H. J., ... Lazar, J. (2018). Characterization of coding/noncoding variants forSHROOM3in patients with CKD. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology, 29, 1525–1535.
- Quang, D., Chen, Y., & Xie, X. (2015). DANN: A deep learning approach for annotating the pathogenicity of genetic variants. *Bioinformatics*, 31, 761–763.
- Ryan, T. J., Emes, R. D., Grant, S. G., & Komiyama, N. H. (2008). Evolution of NMDA receptor cytoplasmic interaction domains: Implications for organisation of synaptic signalling complexes. *BioMed Central Neuroscience*, 9, 6.
- Sanders, M., Lawlor, J. M. J., Li, X., Schuen, J. N., Millard, S. L., Zhang, X., Buck, L., Grysko, B., Uhl, K. L., Hinds, D., Stenger, C. L., Morris, M., Lamb, N., Levy, H., Bupp, C., & Prokop, J. W. (2021). Genomic, transcriptomic, and protein landscape profile of CFTR and cystic fibrosis. *Human Genetics*, 140, 423–439.
- Swanger, S. A., Chen, W., Wells, G., Burger, P. B., Tankovic, A., Bhattacharya, S., Strong, K. L., Hu, C., Kusumoto, H., Zhang, J., Adams, D. R., Millichap, J. J., Petrovski, S., Traynelis, S. F., & Yuan, H. (2016). Mechanistic insight into NMDA receptor dysregulation by rare

variants in the GluN2A and GluN2B agonist binding domains. American Journal of Human Genetics, 99, 1261–1280.

- Tamura, K., & Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 10, 512–526.
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28, 2731–2739.
- Yang, X., Qian, P., Xu, X., Liu, X., Wu, X., Zhang, Y., & Yang, Z. (2018). GRIN2A mutations in epilepsy-aphasia spectrum disorders. *Brain Dev*, 40, 205–210.
- Yang, Y., Muzny, D. M., Xia, F., Niu, Z., Person, R., Ding, Y., Ward, P., Braxton, A., Wang, M., Buhay, C., Veeraraghavan, N., Hawes, A., Chiang, T., Leduc, M., Beuten, J., Zhang, J., He, W., Scull, J., Willis, A., ... Eng, C. M. (2014). Molecular findings among patients referred for clinical whole-exome sequencing. JAMA, 312, 1870–1879.
- Yoo, H. J., Cho, I. H., Park, M., Yang, S. Y., & Kim, S. A. (2012). Family based association of GRIN2A and GRIN2B with Korean autism spectrum disorders. *Neuroscience Letters*, 512, 89–93.
- Yuan, H., Low, C.-M., Moody, O. A., Jenkins, A., & Traynelis, S. F. (2015). Ionotropic GABA and glutamate receptor mutations and human neurologic diseases. *Molecular Pharmacology*, 88, 203–217.

- Zehavi, Y., Mandel, H., Zehavi, A., Rashid, M. A., Straussberg, R., Jabur, B., Shaag, A., Elpeleg, O., & Spiegel, R. (2017). De novo GRIN1 mutations: An emerging cause of severe early infantile encephalopathy. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, 60, 317–320.
- Zou, J., Huss, M., Abid, A., Mohammadi, P., Torkamani, A., & Telenti, A. (2019). A primer on deep learning in genomics. *Nature Genetics*, 51, 12–18.

How to cite this article: Charron, J. G., Hernandez, A., Bilinovich, S. M., Vogt, D. L., Bedinger, L. A., Seaver, L. H., Williams, M., Devries, S., Campbell, D. B., Bupp, C. P., & Prokop, J. W. (2022). N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor genetics: The power of paralog homology and protein dynamics in defining dominant genetic variants. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 188A:556–568. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/ajmg.a.62554